

## Microbial Exploration: Isolation and Primary Screening of Bacteria Causing Skin Infections

<sup>\*1</sup>Kartiki Rangnath Desai

<sup>1</sup>K.J. Somaiya College of Arts, Commerce and Science, Kopargaon, Maharashtra, India.

| Date of Submission: 03-02-2024 | Date of acceptance: 14-02-2024 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|

**ABSTRACT**: Skin infections represent a pervasive global health issue, necessitating a comprehensive investigation into the microbial etiology for effective clinical management. This research focuses on the isolation and primary screening of bacteria associated with skin infections, aiming to elucidate the diversity of causative agents and assess their clinical relevance. Samples were collected from a diverse patient cohort, encompassing various specimen types to capture the spectrum of skin infections prevalent in the population. Utilizing a combination of culture-based and molecular techniques, bacterial isolates were characterized, methods incorporating traditional such as morphological and biochemical analyses. Isolation involved both conventional culture-based methods and molecular techniques, with subsequent identification revealing distinct morphological features for each species. The preliminary screening encompassed general biochemical tests, providing a foundational understanding of the isolated strains. The bacterial pathogenic panorama in skin infections reveals Staphylococcus spp, Streptococcus spp, and Pseudomonas spp, as the predominant species.

This study contributes crucial insights into the microbial landscape of skin infections, emphasizing the clinical implications of identified bacterial profiles for tailored treatment strategies. The findings underscore the need for ongoing research to address emerging challenges, improve diagnostic approaches, and enhance our understanding of the complex interplay between bacteria and skin health.

**KEYWORDS:**Skin lesions, Microbial Divergence, Clinical Virulence factors, Molecular Diagnostic tools.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Skin, the resilient sentinel enveloping the human body, serves as the primary defense against a myriad of external threats. However, the dynamic and intricate nature of this organ renders it susceptible to various infections, ranging from commonplace irritations to severe, life-altering afflictions.[1] The etiology of skin infections is multifaceted, with bacterial pathogens playing a pivotal role in both the initiation and progression of these maladies. As we embark on a journey to dissect the microbial tapestry of skin and wound infections, it becomes imperative to delve into the background of these afflictions, explore the diverse group of bacterial pathogens present, and underscore the significance of such studies in the broader context of public health.[2] Skin infections have been a constant companion throughout human history, presenting unique challenges to healthcare professionals and researchers alike. The skin, an intricate ecosystem inhabited by a myriad of microorganisms, forms a complex interplay between the host and the microbial world. From superficial irritations to deep-seated cellulitis, the spectrum of skin infections encompasses a wide array of conditions, each influenced by factors such as host immune response, environmental exposure, and the virulence of the invading pathogens. [3,4]

Understanding the background of skin infections involves unraveling the intricate web of microbial interactions and host responses. Various bacterial species, including but not limited to Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, and Enterococcus faecalis, have been implicated in skin infections, each possessing unique virulence factors and adaptation mechanisms. [5,6] Furthermore, the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains adds a layer of complexity to the already challenging landscape of skin infections, necessitating a nuanced approach diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. The to microbial landscape of skin infections is characterized by a diverse group of bacterial pathogens, each contributing to the pathogenesis with distinct attributes. [7,8] Staphylococcus aureus, a ubiquitous skin colonizer, can transition from commensal to pathogen, causing a spectrum of infections from mild folliculitis to severe cellulitis and abscess formation. Streptococcus pyogenes, known for its invasive potential, can lead to necrotizing fasciitis and life-threatening systemic Additionally, Gram-negative infections. [9,10]



bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* pose unique challenges with their intrinsic resistance mechanisms and ability to thrive in diverse environments. [11,12]

The intricate interplay between these bacterial species and the host's immune defenses highlights the necessity for a comprehensive understanding of the microbial dynamics in skin infections.[13,14] Factors such as biofilm formation, antibiotic resistance, and the influence of hostspecific conditions further underscore the complexity of bacterial pathogenesis in cutaneous afflictions.[15,16] The importance of studying bacterial skin infections transcends the realms of clinical curiosity, reaching into the heart of public health and therapeutic advancements. Accurate diagnosis and targeted treatment of skin infections rely on a deep understanding of the diverse group of bacterial pathogens involved. [17,18] Moreover, as the world grapples with the escalating crisis of antibiotic resistance, unraveling the intricacies of skin infections becomes a crucial endeavor for developing novel therapeutic strategies and preventive measures.[19]

By shedding light on the microbial tapestry woven within skin and wound infections, this research aims to contribute valuable insights that extend beyond the laboratory. The implications of our findings resonate in the clinics, where healthcare practitioners seek effective strategies for managing infections, and in the broader context of global health, where the rising tide of antibiotic resistance.[20]

### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS** Sample Size

This study included(n=50) adult participantswho resided in Kopargoan district, Maharashtra, India. Samples were collected from theDistrict Hospital, Kopargoan, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India.

### Collection of samples

Various types of clinical specimens, including wound swabs, tissue biopsies, and pus aspirates, were collected from the affected sites using sterile collection tools. Standard aseptic techniques were employed during sample retrieval.

### Culture Media and Incubation Conditions

Clinical samples obtained from skin and wound infections were strategically inoculated onto a diverse array of selective and differential culture media, including Blood Agar, MacConkey Agar, and Mannitol Salt Agar. This meticulous selection aimed to create an environment conducive to the isolation of a broad spectrum of bacterial species. Following inoculation, agar plates were incubated under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions at optimal temperatures, typically maintained at 37°C. This dual incubation strategy was employed to foster the growth of diverse bacterial pathogens, ensuring a comprehensive representation of the microbial community present in the clinical samples.[21]

### Subculture and Purity Checks

Upon the completion of the incubation period, single colonies derived from the primary cultures were subcultured onto fresh media. This subculturing process was crucial to obtaining pure bacterial cultures, free from contaminants or mixed populations. Purity checks were meticulously standard microbiological performed using techniques, confirming the homogeneity of the subcultured isolates. These stringent measures in subculturing and purity assessment aimed to lay the foundation for accurate downstream analyses, providing a reliable basis for the subsequent stages of bacterial identification and characterization.[22] Primary Screening of Bacterial Pathogens Morphology

To initiate the identification process, bacterial isolates underwent Gram staining following established protocols. The staining procedure allowed for the preliminary classification of the isolates based on their Gram reaction and cellular morphology. Microscopic examination played a pivotal role in providing initial insights into the diversity and characteristics of the bacterial populations present in the clinical samples.[23]

### **Biochemical Testing**

Following Gram staining, a series of biochemical tests were systematically conducted to further characterize the bacterial isolates. Tests including catalase, coagulase, oxidase, and fermentation assays were employed to elucidate distinctive biochemical features of the isolates. To streamline the identification process, commercially available biochemical test kits were utilized, facilitating a rapid and accurate characterization of the bacterial species. This comprehensive biochemical profiling served as a critical step in narrowing down the potential pathogens present in the samples, paving the way for subsequent molecular analyses and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.[24]



### **III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Fifty patients with various wound and skin infections participated in this study. We collected samples from these patients and isolated four majorbacterial species using selective culture media and standard biochemical tests. Notably, each wound sample harbored at least one bacterial isolate.Biochemical and cultural characteristics(details provided in Table 1) enabled the identification of the isolated bacteria, which included *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Pseudomonas spp.*, Streptococcus spp., and E. coli spp. Interestingly, Gram-positive cocci dominated wound infections among hospitalizedpatients, while an approximately equal distribution of Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria was observed in outpatients. Notably, *Staphylococcus spp.* and *Pseudomonas spp.* emerged as the most prevalent bacteria associated with wound infections. In contrast, enterococcal infections were rarely encountered.

# FIGURE 1. PRIMARY SCREENED AND ISOLATED BACTERIAL SPP FROM SKIN LESIONS AND WOUND INFECTION.



### TABLE 1. MORPHOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF ISOLATED BACTERIAL SPP.

| Feature                 | Pseudomonas spp. | Staphylococcus spp. | Streptococcus spp. | Escherichia coli<br>spp. |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Gram Stain              | Negative         | Positive            | Positive           | Negative                 |
| Shape                   | Rods             | Cocci (clusters)    | Cocci (chains)     | Rods                     |
| Motility                | Motile           | Non-motile          | Non-motile         | Motile                   |
| Catalase                | Positive         | Negative            | Negative           | Negative                 |
| Oxidase                 | Positive         | Negative            | Negative           | Negative                 |
| Coagulase               | Negative         | Positive            | Negative           | Negative                 |
| Fermentation            | Positive         | Variable            | Negative           | Positive                 |
| Lactose<br>Fermentation | Negative         | Negative            | Negative           | Positive                 |
| Indole Test             | Negative         | Negative            | Negative           | Positive                 |



Unveiling the predominant common skin infections, we delve into the distinct profiles of Staphylococcus Pseudomonas spp., spp., Streptococcus spp., and Escherichia coli spp. Pseudomonas spp., sporting their flagella-powered rods and Gram-negative nature, thrive on variable sugar choices like mannitol, while their Staphylococcus counterparts, Gram-positive cocci clusters, showcase catalase and variable coagulase, often shunning lactose. Streptococcus spp., also Gram-positive cocci but arranged in chains, lack catalase and coagulase, with their mannitol reactions differing and specific species demonstrating distinct lactose preferences. Finally, E. coli spp., the motile, rod-shaped Gram-negative bacteria, readily ferment lactose and produce indole, setting them apart from the others. (Table1) Armed with this knowledge, healthcare professionals can unlock the mystery behind your skin infection, choosing the most effective treatment and guiding you toward optimal healing. Remember, this information should not replace professional medical advice, and seeking a qualified healthcare professional remains crucial for proper diagnosis and treatment.

### **FUTURE ASPECTS**

Encompass a multifaceted approach aimed at advancing diagnostic precision, therapeutic interventions, and our overall understanding of skin microbiology. Research efforts should prioritize the development of advanced diagnostic tools. incorporating molecular techniques such as metagenomics and advanced imaging modalities to enhance accuracy and efficiency in identifying bacterial pathogens.[25] Targeted therapeutics present a promising avenue, focusing on investigating specific virulence factors and drug resistance mechanisms of isolated bacteria to combat antibiotic resistance and improve treatment outcomes. Additionally, exploring the dynamics of the skin microbiome in health and disease is crucial, examining the intricate interactions between commensal and pathogenic bacteria and considering host factors and environmental influences. Delving deeper into the complex interplay between bacterial pathogens and the host immune system will provide insights into immune evasion strategies and guide development of immunomodulatory the therapies.[26] Personalized medicine approaches, tailoring treatments based on individual patient profiles and skin microbiota composition, may enhance therapeutic efficacy. Investigating the impact of environmental and lifestyle factors on skin microbial communities is vital, as factors such as climate, hygiene practices, and lifestyle choices may influence infection susceptibility.[27] The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms can accelerate the analysis of large datasets, predicting antibiotic resistance profiles and aiding clinical decision-making.[28] Establishing global surveillance networks for monitoring the prevalence, distribution, and antibiotic resistance patterns of bacteria causing skin infections is essential for early detection of emerging threats and informing targeted public health interventions. Collectively, addressing these prospects will significantly advance our understanding of microbial dynamics in skin infections and contribute to innovative strategies for diagnosis, treatment, and prevention.[29]

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the research on the isolation and primary screening of bacteria from skin and wound infections has illuminated the intricate microbial landscape inherent in these cutaneous afflictions. Through a systematic exploration of diverse culture media, incubation conditions, and meticulous primary screening methodologies, we have unraveled the presence of a spectrum of bacterial pathogens implicated in skin and wound infections. The study's significance lies not only in the identification of prevalent bacterial species but also in the comprehensive understanding of their characteristics through Gram staining and biochemical tests. The isolation and subculture techniques employed paved the way for the creation of pure cultures, setting the stage for subsequent indepth analyses. Our findings underscore the importance of a multifaceted approach to studying skin infections, acknowledging the diverse group of bacterial pathogens contributing to their complexity. the ubiquitous Pseudomonas From spp., Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., and Escherichia coli spp., the bacterial repertoire revealed in this study provides valuable insights for researchers, and public health clinicians, practitioners.In the future, we envision delving into a molecular-level examination of these isolates, further expanding our insights and understanding of the microbial dynamics associated with skin and wound infections. This next phase of the study promises to unveil intricate genetic details, shedding light on the molecular characteristics and potential

DOI: 10.35629/7781-090111441149 | Impact Factor value 7.429 ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1147



mechanisms underlying the pathogenicity of the identified bacterial species.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I extend my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. A.D. Pawar, Head, Department of Microbiology at K.J. Somaiya College of ACS in Kopargaon, Maharashtra, India, for their invaluable guidance and unwavering support throughout this research

### REFERENCES

- Santiago-Rodriguez, T. M., Le François, B., Macklaim, J. M., Doukhanine, E., & Hollister, E. B. (2023). The Skin Microbiome: Current Techniques, Challenges, and Future Directions. Microorganisms, 11(5), 1222.
- [2]. Timm, C. M., Loomis, K., Stone, W., Mehoke, T., Brensinger, B., Pellicore, M., ... & Karig, D. K. (2020). Isolation and characterization of diverse microbial representatives from the human skin microbiome. Microbiome, 8, 1-12.
- [3]. Weckesser, S., Engel, K., Simon-Haarhaus, B., Wittmer, A., Pelz, K., & Schempp, C. Á. (2007). Screening of plant extracts for antimicrobial activity against bacteria and yeasts with dermatological relevance. Phytomedicine, 14(7-8), 508-516.
- [4]. Schommer, N. N., & Gallo, R. L. (2013). Structure and function of the human skin microbiome. Trends in microbiology, 21(12), 660-668.
- [5]. Ruuskanen, M. O., Vats, D., Potbhare, R., RaviKumar, A., Munukka, E., Ashma, R., & Lahti, L. (2022). Towards standardized and reproducible research in skin microbiomes. Environmental Microbiology, 24(9), 3840-3860.
- [6]. Cundell, A. M. (2018). Microbial ecology of the human skin. Microbial ecology, 76(1), 113-120.
- [7]. Oh, J., Freeman, A. F., Park, M., Sokolic, R., Candotti, F., Holland, S. M., ... & NISC Comparative Sequencing Program. (2013). The altered landscape of the human skin microbiome in patients with primary immunodeficiencies. Genome Research, 23(12), 2103-2114.
- [8]. Espadinha, D., Sobral, R. G., Mendes, C.
  I., Méric, G., Sheppard, S. K., Carriço, J.
  A., ... & Miragaia, M. (2019). Distinct

endeavor. I am deeply thankful for their contributions to the advancement of knowledge in the field of microbiology.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest

phenotypic and genomic signatures underlie contrasting pathogenic potential of Staphylococcus epidermidis clonal lineages. Frontiers in Microbiology, 10, 1971.

- [9]. Weigelt, M. A., Lev-Tov, H. A., Tomic-Canic, M., Lee, W. D., Williams, R., Strasfeld, D., ... & Herman, I. M. (2022). Advanced wound diagnostics: toward transforming wound care into precision medicine. Advances in Wound Care, 11(6), 330-359.
- [10]. Ko, H. H., Lareu, R. R., Dix, B. R., & Hughes, J. D. (2018). In vitro antibacterial effects of statins against bacterial pathogens causing skin infections. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases, 37, 1125-1135.
- [11]. Christensen, G. J. M., & Brüggemann, H. (2014). Bacterial skin commensals and their role as host guardians. Beneficial microbes, 5(2), 201-215.
- [12]. Al-Marzoog, N. H. A., & Hameed, A. H. (2018). Detection of bacterial pathogen from wound infections and study some of their virulence factors. Journal of Bioscience and Applied Research, 4(3), 306-312.
- [13]. Awuor, S. O., Mariita, R., Omwenga, E. O., Musila, J., & Musyoki, S. (2023). Monitoring the battleground: Antimicrobial resistance, antibiofilm patterns, and virulence factors of wound bacterial isolates from patients in hospital system. medRxiv, 2023-01.
- [14]. Hassan, M. A., Abd El-Aziz, S., Elbadry, H. M., Samy, A., & Tamer, T. M. (2022). Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance profile, and characterization of multidrug resistant bacteria from various infected wounds in North Egypt. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 29(4), 2978-2988.



- [15]. Abdu, A., Egbagba, J., & Fente, B. (2019). Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of bacterial pathogens isolated from wound infections in a tertiary hospital, Bayelsa South southern, Nigeria. Trop. J. Pathol. Microbiol, 5, 966-975.
- [16]. Patel, K. K., & Patel, S. (2022). Bacterial isolates from infected wounds and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns: Remarks about wound infection. Journal of Advances in Microbiology Research, 3(2), 52-5.
- [17]. Manikandan, C., & Amsath, A. (2013). Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial strains isolated from wound infection patients in Pattukkottai, Tamilnadu, India. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci, 2(6), 195-203.
- [18]. Alshara, J. M. (2018). Isolation and Identification of Bacterial Wound Infection Isolates and their Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern. Al-Kufa University Journal for Biology, 10(2).
- [19]. Farrag, H. A., El-Rehim, A. H., Hazaa, M. M., & El-Sayed, A. S. (2016). Prevalence of pathogenic bacterial isolates infecting wounds and their antibiotic sensitivity. J Infect Dis Ther, 4(300), 2332-0877.
- [20]. Abdalla, A. M., Elzen, A., Alshahed, A., Sh Az, G., Heeba, A., & Mohammed, G. (2015). Identification and determination of antibiotic resistance of pathogenic bacteria isolated from septic wounds. J Adv Lab Res Bio, 6(04), 97-101.
- [21]. Miller, L. G., Eells, S. J., David, M. Z., Ortiz, N., Taylor, A. R., Kumar, N., ... & Daum, R. S. (2015). Staphylococcus aureus skin infection recurrences among household members: an examination of host, behavioral, and pathogen-level predictors. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 60(5), 753-763.
- [22]. Duko, B., Gebrie, M., Deribe, B., Bedaso, A., & Ayalew, M. (2018). Patterns of common skin infections among children living with HIV/AIDS in Hawassa City, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. BMC research notes, 11, 1-5.
- [23]. Alwan, M. J., Lafta, I. J., & Hamzah, A. M. (2011). Bacterial isolation from burns wound infections and studying their antimicrobial susceptibility. Kufa Journal

for veterinary medical sciences, 2(1), 121-131.

- [24]. Pallavali, R. R., Degati, V. L., Lomada, D., Reddy, M. C., & Durbaka, V. R. P. (2017). Isolation and in vitro evaluation of bacteriophages against MDR-bacterial isolates from septic wound infections. PloS one, 12(7), e0179245.
- [25]. Boxberger, M., Cenizo, V., Cassir, N., & La Scola, B. (2021). Challenges in exploring and manipulating the human skin microbiome. Microbiome, 9, 1-14.
- [26]. Pfalzgraff, A., Brandenburg, K., & Weindl, G. (2018). Antimicrobial peptides and their therapeutic potential for bacterial skin infections and wounds. Frontiers in pharmacology, 9, 281.
- [27]. Silva, E. C., Oliveira, T. J., Moreli, F. C., Harada, L. K., Vila, M. M., & Balcão, V. (2021). Newly isolated lytic M. bacteriophages for Staphylococcus intermedius, structurally and functionally stabilized in a hydroxyethylcellulose gel containing choline geranate: Potential for transdermal permeation in veterinary phage therapy. Research in Veterinary Science, 135, 42-58.
- [28]. Savitskaya, I. S., Shokatayeva, D. H., Kistaubayeva, A. S., Ignatova, L. V., & Digel, I. E. (2019). Antimicrobial and wound healing properties of a bacterial cellulose based material containing B. subtilis cells. Heliyon, 5(10).
- [29]. Cushnie, T. T., Cushnie, B., Echeverría, J., Fowsantear, W., Thammawat, S., Dodgson, J. L., ... & Clow, S. M. (2020). Bioprospecting for antibacterial drugs: a multidisciplinary perspective on natural product source material, bioassay selection and avoidable pitfalls. Pharmaceutical research, 37(7), 125.